## **32**— Post Main-Sequence Evolution [*Revision* : 1.1]

- Shell Ignition
  - Shortly before hydrogen exhaustion in center of stars above ~  $1.1 M_{\odot}$ , energy produced by core is insufficient to match luminosity radiated by envelope
  - Typically occurs when  $X \approx 0.05$
  - Kelvin-Helmholtz contraction makes up luminosity difference; star moves to higher  $T_{\rm eff}$  on HR diagram little 'jag' in tracks
  - For stars below ~  $1.1 M_{\odot}$ , no need for KH contraction; no jag
  - In both cases, main sequence evolution ends when hydrogen exhausted at center  $(X \rightarrow 0)$
  - Then, a new energy source appears
    - \* Core is made of 'inert' helium; relative mass  $M_{\rm core}/M$  increases with M
    - \* Core is hot because of high  $\mu$
    - \* Core wants to be isothermal because  $\epsilon = 0$  and so  $F_{\rm rad} = 0$  and so dT/dr = 0
    - \* Temperatures at core boundary high enough to burn hydrogen
    - \* Shell ignition occurs!
    - \* Shell appearance smooth for low-mass stars  $(M \leq 1.3 M_{\odot})$
    - \* Shell appearance abrupt for higher-mass stars  $(M \gtrsim 1.3 M_{\odot})$
  - As shell burning continues, it adds helium to core; core mass grows
- Evolution to the Red
  - The envelope of the star had previously adjusted self for core burning
  - But now we have new, different energy source shell burning
  - Shell burning typically produces more luminosity than core burning (higher temperature)
  - Shell luminosity is greater than envelope can typically radiate
  - So, envelope absorbs excess luminosity, heats up and expands
  - Effective temperature decreases; star moves across to base of red giant branch (RGB) in Hertzsrpung-Russell diagram
  - Typically, timescale for evolution across HR diagram  $\sim$  shell burning timescale...
  - ... if it weren't for a problem in the core
  - Sidenote: astronomers still argue about why stars form red giants; all models show it, but why they show it is subject of great debate
- The Schönberg-Chandrasekhar limit
  - So far, we've neglected what happens in core
  - Initially, core is isothermal (although exceptions occur for high-mass stars  $M \gtrsim 6 M_{\odot}$ )
  - Core does not need to KH contract because not losing energy through boundary; kept warm by shell burning
  - However, core is growning in mass
  - Can it always support pressure of overlying envelope?
  - Assume temperature  $T_{\rm core}$  within core (and at core boundary) is constant
  - Assume downward pressure of envelope at core boundary,  $P_{env}$  is constant (can show it depends mainly on mass anbd  $T_{core}$ )

- Use virial theorem derivation to calculate upward pressure of core  $P_{\text{core}}$ :
  - \* Consider core in hydrostatic equilibrium

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}r} = -g\rho = -\frac{GM_r}{r^2}\rho$$

\* Multiply both sides by  $4\pi r^3$ , integrate over *core*:

$$\int_0^{R_{\rm core}} \frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}r} 4\pi r^3 \,\mathrm{d}r = -\int_0^{R_{\rm core}} \frac{GM_r}{r^2} 4\pi r^3 \rho \,\mathrm{d}r = E_{\rm g,core}$$

where  $E_{g,core}$  is gravitational energy of core

\* Do integral on lhs by parts:

$$\left[4\pi r^{3} P\right]_{0}^{R_{\rm core}} - 3\int_{0}^{R_{\rm core}} P4\pi r^{2} \,\mathrm{d}r = E_{\rm g,core}$$

\* Use ideal gas law:

$$4\pi R_{\rm core}^3 P_{\rm core} - \frac{3M_{\rm core}kT}{\mu m_H} = E_{\rm g,core}$$

\* To calculate  $E_{g,core}$ , assume density is equal to mean density in core:

$$\rho \approx \frac{3M_{\rm core}}{4\pi R_{\rm core}^3}$$

Then,

$$E_{\rm g,core} \approx -\frac{3}{5} \frac{GM_{\rm core}^2}{R_{\rm core}}$$

\* Solving for  $P_{\text{core}}$ :

$$P_{\rm core} = \frac{3}{4\pi R_{\rm core}^3} \left( \frac{M_{\rm core} k T_{\rm core}}{\mu m_H} - \frac{1}{5} \frac{G M_{\rm core}^2}{R_{\rm core}} \right)$$

- \* This core pressure  $P_{\rm core}$  must match the envelope pressure  $P_{\rm env}$ ; the core adjusts its radius to make this so
- $\ast\,$  But can a match always be found? Not necessarily, because  $P_{\rm core}$  has a local maximum, above which it cannot go
- $\ast\,$  To find maximum, differentiate  $P_{\rm core}$  wrt to  $R_{\rm core},\,\&$  set to zero; find

$$R_{\rm core,max} = \frac{4}{15} \frac{GM_{\rm core} \mu m_H}{kT_{\rm core}}$$

and

$$P_{\rm core,max} = \frac{10125}{1024G^3M_{\rm core}^2} \left(\frac{kT_{\rm core}}{\mu m_H}\right)^4$$

(Note: the derivation in O&C is wrong. Their answer is dimensionally correct, but their reasoning doesn't make sense)

- \* Interesting result: as the core mass increases, eventually  $P_{\text{core,max}} < P_{\text{env}}$ , and there is no way the core can support envelope
- \* This limiting core mass is called the Schönberg-Chandrasekhar limit

\* Using result

$$P_{\rm env} \propto \frac{T_{\rm core}^4}{M^2}$$

(see Kippenhahn & Weigert) can show that SC limit reached at fractional mass

$$\frac{M_{\rm core}}{M} \approx 0.37 \left(\frac{\mu_{\rm env}}{\mu_{\rm core}}\right)^2$$

For  $\mu_{\rm env} \approx 0.6$  (fully-ionized solar), and  $\mu_{\rm core} \approx 1.3$  (all hydrogen turned to helium), find SC limit as

$$\frac{M_{\rm core}}{M} \approx 0.08$$

- Above SC limit, core begins to contract rapidly
- Contraction heats core, sets up temperature gradients, makes core radiate
- Whole process happens on KH timescale very quick!
- Means that evolution to red is much quicker than nuclear; leads to observational gap in distribution of stars in HRD (the Hertzsprung gap
- Important: SC limit is not cause of evolution to base of RGB; but it selts timescale
- Take note of special cases:
  - \* For low-mass stars  $(M \leq 1.3 M_{\odot})$ , helium core is partly degenerate; higher pressure than predicted by above (ideal gas) argument, SC limit does not apply and evolution to RGB is slower
  - \* For higher-mass stars  $(M \gtrsim 2-3 M_{\odot})$ , core is already above SC limit at end of main sequence; so, contraction happens immediately, isothermal core can never form